Amersham United Charity - Risk Management

1. Purpose

This Risk Management Policy has been written for Amersham United Charities to ensure that the Board regularly reviews significant risks and makes plans to mitigate and manage these risks appropriately, and to comply with the Charity's Governance Code.

2. Statement of General Policy

The Board recognise and accept its responsibilities to ensure that risk management is approached comprehensively throughout the Charity.

Major risks are those that would have a significant impact and a likelihood of occurring. If they arose they would have a major impact on the Charity.

3. The Board will

- regularly review the risks it faces in all its Charity associated areas
- assess these risks to determine their severity and the likelihood of occurrence
- take appropriate action to manage risks that have been identified
- review the risk assessment and include in the Board's annual report.

Attached is the Charity's Risk Assessment. This is a live document and those items listed are not limited.

4. Review

The Board will review the contents of, and compliance with, this Risk Management Policy on an annual basis.

5. Approval

This Risk Management Policy has been approved by the Board of Amersham United Charities.

Signature:

(C

(Chair)

Name: Susan Thomas Pounce

Date: 6th July 2022

Reviewed and no changes :10th March 2022 except more up to date register attached below.

Risk Log		1															
Project			Amershan	United Charities													
Co-Ordinator				Simon Partridge	1												
Last Updated				07/11/2020	1												
Risk No.	Risk	Date	Status	Risk Owner	Risk Category	Risk (Opportunities as well threats)	Potential Impacts	Rav	v Risk	ŧ	Risk Control Measures	Re	sidual	Proximity	Date of last update	Date of	Comments
	Author						(Which may result in)			7	currently in place and working		-	1 1		next	
						As a result of		8	ãI.	-	Are your controls working effectively?	8	1			review	
								8	3 6	ô		8	ê û				
								0	-			0	_				
GENERAL	SP	08/11/2018	Open		Compliance	failure in trustee recruitment	Lack of diversity among trustees. No	П	┰		egular review at trustee meetings	\neg	┰	Long Term			
1	I	l		trustees			succession planning. Meetings not	2	2 4	4		2	1 2				
							quorate	Ш									
	SP		Open	Trustees	Financial	Long term vacancy	Loss of income. Inability to repay	П	Т		Regular meetings to review resident	П	Т	Long Term			
1	I	l	ı	l	l		loans	4	1 4		availability. Ensure properties are maintained	3	1 3				
								Ш		_	and refurbished.						
	SP		Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of increase in Base rate	Increased costs of loans	2	4 8			2	2 4	Long Term			
1	SP	l	Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of cost escalation of works	Increased costs	1 1 T	2 2		Ensure appropriate contractors and	1	1 1	Long Term			
	CD		0	Tourism	Florestel	Lean of buildings due to for	Leas of leasure leability to access	Н	-		coffesionals engaged	\rightarrow	+	Charl Tarr		\vdash	
	SP	I	Open	Trustees	Financial	Loss of buildings due to fire	Loss of income. Inability to repay loans	4	2 8	8	Ensure building fire airms regularly checked	4	1 4	Short Term			
	CD		Open	Trustees	Financial	Loss of buildings due to H and S	Loss of income. Inability to repay	Н	-	٠,	Ensure buildings are regularly checked	ightarrow	+	Short Term		-	
	or-	l	Open	Trustees	rmancial	issues	loans	4	2 8	8	criside buildings are regularly directed	4	1 4	onort rem			
DAY'S	LT		Open	Trustees	Commercial	risk of falling on footpaths at Days	injury to residents		_	_	Ensure paths are regularly cleaned	_		Short Term		-	
		l				due to slippery cobbles	,-,-	4	2 8	8	,	4	1 4				
	SP		Open	Trustees	Reputational	Risk of fall on stairs at Days	injury to residents			_	Days stairs have abiltity to have rails and		4 0	Long Term			
						•		3	2 (9	stair chair fitted	3	1 3	,			
	SP		Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of gas leak at Days	injury to residents	П			Regular servicing of boiler and landlord			Long Term			
1	1	l	l	l	l			3	1 3		checks. Gas main crosses No 73 and into	3	1 3				
	CD		-					ш	-		poller room outside No73	$\boldsymbol{\dashv}$	-			-	
1	SP	l	Open	Trustees	Financial	Risk of damage to rear wall of Days dur to ivy growth	Repairs needed	2	2 4	4	Pressurise Chattertons to maintain garden	2	2 4	Long Term			
$\overline{}$	CD		Open		Financial	Risk of damage to North gable wall	Repairs needed	Н	-	٠,	Regular inspection and action if needed	ightarrow	+	Long Term		-	
1	or-	l	Open		rmancial	of Days due to river	repairs needed	5	2 1	10	regular inspection and action in needed	5	1 5	Long Term			
	IA		Open	Trustees	Financial	Risk of leaking water main in roof	Repairs needed	┪		_ (Check insulation is ok	_		Long Term		-	
						voids at Day's		3	1 3	3		3	1 3				
	IA.		Open	Trustees	Financial	Risk of storm damage at Day's	Repairs needed	3	1 3			3	1 3	Long Term			
	LT		Open	Trustees	Reputational	risk of injury due to poor condition of	injury to residents	3	1 3		Ensure regular review of access and keep	3	1 3	Short Term			
						entrance passageway at Days			-1		adiacent owners aware of any issues	~				\sqcup	
DRAKE'S	LT	I	Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of injury due to difficult access	injury to residents	4	2 8	8	Ensure steps cleaned and maintained	3	1 3	Short Term		i l	
—	1.7		0	Tourism	Commental	into Drakes	laboro da casidanda	Н	-	4	Tanana annua la alaur and alaur ald	\rightarrow	+	Charl Tarr		\vdash	
1	LI	I	Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of injury due to difficult access into Drakes rear gardens	injury to residents	4	1 4		Ensure access is clear and steps cleaned and maintained. Install lights in passageway	3	1 3	Short Term			
$\overline{}$	SP		Open	Trustees	Commercial	Risk of gas leak in Drakes	injury to residents	H	+		Regular servicing of individual boilers and	-	+	Long Term		\vdash	
1		I	Open		GOVERNMENT OF THE PARTY OF THE	Total or glas real in Cranes	ngory to residents	3	1 3		andlords checks	3	1 3	cong runn		i l	
	SP		Open	Trustees	Financial	Risk of roof damage at Drakes due	Roof repairs needed		2		Pressurise BCC/ATC to pollard trees	-	2 4	Long Term			
				<u> </u>		to external trees		2	2 4	٠,	regularly	2	2 4	_			
	IA.		Open	Trustees	Financial	Risk of storm damage at Drake's	Repairs needed	3			Regular inspection and action if needed			Long Term			
								Ш	(0				
								ш	_				0				
	\vdash							Щ	(_	0			\Box	
	\vdash		—						-				0			\vdash	
					l			ıl		U			0				

Risk Author	Person that raised the risk	
Ref	Original date of identification of Risk	
at JTDT	Any risk with an high impact >12	
Status	Open Open	
	Closed Closed	
	Accept	
Risk Owner	Person monitoring risk and progress	
Risk Category	Category of Risk - see Key below	
Risk	Describe situation, use prompt - as a result	
Potential Consequences	Describe risk, use prompt - there is a risk tha	
Potential Impact	What will happen, use prompt - which may result in	
Raw Score	What is the score if we did nothing - Result of Consequence x likelihood - see Table 1 & 2 below N.B. This is a Raw Score only	
Risk Control	Any actions that can be identified as countermeasures	
Score	Once risk control has been identified what is the likely score of it occurring and its effect.	
Proximity	How close is the risk of becoming an issue	
Updated	Insert Date of Scoring/identification of Countermeasure	
review	place	
Comments	Record any additional comments	

Commercial		
Compliance		
Financial		
Reputational		
Legal		
Governace		
Technical		
Environmental		
Factor	(delay)	Cost (Increase)
Very Low	1-month	5%
Very Low Low	1-month Months	10%
Low	Months	10%
Low Medium	Months months	10% 15%
Low Medium High	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High Very High	Months months Months	10% 15% 20%
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High	Months months Months Months	10% 15% 20% 25%+
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High Very High Proximity	Months months Months Months	10% 15% 20% 25%+
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High Very High Proximity Immediate	Months months Months Months Within 1	10% 15% 20% 25%+
Low Medium High Very High Factor Very Low Low Medium High Very High Proximity Immediate Short Term	Months months Months Months Within 1 2 to 3 mo	10% 15% 20% 25%+ month onths months
	Compliance Financial Reputational Legal Governace Organisational Planning Political Sites (Land) Technical Economic Social Technological Environmental	Compliance Financial Reputational Legal Governace Organisational Planning Political Sites (Land) Technical Economic Social Technological Environmental Factor (delay)